

Applicant: Kari M. Mäki
Application No.: 09/966,424
In Response to Office action dated May 11, 2005
Amendment filed May 26, 2005

Remarks

Claims 2–11, and 19–20 remain pending in the application. In the Office action dated May 11, 2005, claims 2–11, and 19–20 were rejected as vague and indefinite. The claims were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

The claims have been amended to overcome the indefiniteness rejections to place the claims in better condition for appeal. Claims 19 and 20 have been amended to include the step of using the information system to supervise and control the process of the plant.

As provided in ¶ [0024] of the specification, “[t]he process performed in the production plant 20 comprise[s] a highly automated process which is supervised and controlled via the internal information systems of the production plant 20.” It is requested that these amendments, which remove the 112 objections, be entered to place the case in better condition for appeal.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this amendment.

Applicant submits that the claims, as amended, are in condition for appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick J. G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934
Attorney for Applicant
Stiennon & Stiennon
P.O. Box 1667
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667
(608) 250-4870
Amdt5.res